In an appearance on CNBC on 17 October 2023, Jim Taiclet, CEO of Lockheed Martin, said: “There is no point in deterring Israel from a military operation. What’s the point? . . . There are conflicts that need to be resolved only with weapons – and we are ready to provide these weapons.” Obscured by this disinterested business posture is the immense profit that his company was already raking in from Israel’s horrific campaign on Gaza, just over a week after the slaughter began.

In fact, the scale of destruction that was only beginning to be inflicted against the Palestinian people would not be possible without Lockheed Martin products. From the F-15 and F-35 warplanes conducting bombing runs, to missile launching platforms, and the missiles themselves, Lockheed Martin’s products are crucial to the Israeli military’s destructive operations.

Making a Killing: Weapons of War

by Brian Chval

Cartoon: China Daily
Killing, continued from page 1

Martin’s gallery has been instrumental to Israel’s war machine.¹ Without that product line (along with those of its industry fellows) and its expedited delivery facilitated by the U.S. government’s massive aid packages, Israel’s murder of at least 35,000 Palestinians in seven months would have been impossible.

The role that Lockheed was set to play was well understood from the outset, as the company’s share prices soared nine percentage points by October 9th.² What defense industry CEOs like Taiclet are eager to portray as unfortunate geopolitical storm systems, compelling them reluctantly to accept the windfall, are anything but. In reality, these are the results of a world that Lockheed Martin, the defense industry, and its partners in the American government and media have helped to create. What to them is simply an advantageous business environment is experienced around the world as the hellfires of war and genocide.

Lockheed Martin is the world’s largest military contractor, but it merely sits atop the vast military-industrial complex (MIC) centered in the U.S. The behemoth of the MIC — consisting of the interconnection of defense contractors, the Pentagon, elected officials, lobbyists, and media — is something most Americans are vaguely aware of. However, its enormity can be obscured by its ubiquity — i.e., it’s so pervasive that one might not recognize all its aspects.

How do we get to a base annual Pentagon budget of $886 billion³ plus added aid packages, over half of which is paid to private contractors?⁴ In short, companies like Lockheed Martin pay dearly to maintain a policymaking and media environment in which every problem can only be solved with an assortment of Lockheed missiles and planes. But for $67.6 billion in revenue,⁵ paying $5 million to political campaigns (average over the last five election cycles),⁶ $14 million a year on lobbying efforts,⁷ and a couple of million to fund prestigious think tanks like the Center for Strategic and International Studies and the Atlantic Council⁸ are small expenses.

From there, it’s not hard to understand that as the federal government
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acts as a broker for weapons manufacturers, bringing their goods to the market, another $95 billion in a foreign arms package was never really in doubt. The harvests in Israel and Ukraine have to be brought in, and Taiwan is still being sown. The blood which waters the crops is the cost of doing business.

The defense industry also burrows its way into state and local economies. In Minnesota, for example, the State Board of Investment – entrusted with investments to feed public sector pension funds – has $56 million invested in Lockheed Martin and around $363 million total invested in weapons manufacturers. As well, defense companies operate several facilities in and around the Twin Cities, underwritten by taxpayer money: Northrop Grumman in Plymouth, General Dynamics in Bloomington, Lockheed Martin subsidiary Forward Edge ASIC in St. Paul, and a forthcoming BAE Systems facility in Maple Grove.

These investments and the facilities are sold as serving the public good by contributing dividends to the retirement funds or creating jobs. In reality, they undermine both while serving to make us complicit in the wars these companies perpetuate. The investments tie the solvency of the retirement funds to volatile stocks whose spikes in value are short lived and rely on new and expanding wars. The return on jobs is paltry at best, while sectors that create far more jobs relative to the money spent, such as education, green energy, health care, and infrastructure – sectors that could actually serve to create a better future – are grossly underfunded.

At the same time that institutional investment and local facilities chain our communities to the American military-industrial complex and its atrocities, they also expose links in that great chain. Whether it’s demanding divestment via encampments at colleges and protests against a State Board of Investment or marching on these companies’ offices and factories to tell them they are not welcome in our communities, people of conscience across the country seek to free us all and build a brighter future.

Brian Chval is an organizer with the Minnesota Anti-War Committee (AWC). He was a researcher with AWC’s campaign to pressure the Minnesota State Board of Investment to divest from its holdings in apartheid Israel and genocide.

Endnotes
Media reports hyping a “new” Russian threat revive Cold War fears, move us away from negotiation and cooperation, and provide a rationale to increase military spending that we’ve seen countless times before. This article examines how seriously we need to take recent reports.

Recently, a U.S. representative stated that Russia is building a new weapon to be put into orbit. Various other U.S. officials suggested that it will carry a nuclear weapon. And on April 24, the issue was taken up at the UN in tit for tat proposals and vetoes by the U.S. and Russia.

The threat, if indeed it exists, apparently involves a satellite-killer weapon, which would indeed be alarming.

Even putting aside nuclear weapons for the moment, destroying satellites should be of great concern because we are so dependent on them.

Satellites were originally developed for a variety of military uses, ranging from precise targeting of weapons, to warning of an adversary’s attack, to overhead spying. And, although we may not think about it, many of our most important international, national, and some of our own individual activities are intimately linked to satellites. Communications satellites such as Elon Musk’s Starlinks play an important part in both military and civilian functions today. Satellites are now a crucial component of the internet, and when our phones show us where we are and the destination we want to reach, they may well be using GPS (Global Positioning System) satellites to do so. We are also often using satellites when we check weather and air quality reports, see Instagrams, watch sports games and TV programs in real time.

There are satellites on 24-hour watch for missile launches that would signal a nuclear attack. So, the destruction of our satellites in space would be extremely dangerous for our security, and itself would be considered an act of war. Satellites can also surveil otherwise secret locations and intercept communications. In fact, it is likely that the U.S. used its fleet of spy satellites to help discover this new Russian weapon.

But, despite the hype, it is unlikely that the “space threat” is a nuclear bomb in a satellite, for one simple reason: The radiation from a nuclear explosion in space is an

Space Threat, continued on page 5
equal-opportunity destroyer: The electronic components of many, if not most, satellites from all nations would be fried if a nuclear weapon is exploded in space, including, of course, the satellites of the nation that explodes it. Consequently, its use would not only harm an adversary, but would also place the security of the launching nation at great risk.

The world got a taste of the danger of a high-altitude nuclear explosion when the U.S. actually exploded several nuclear weapons in space in the 1960s to test the feasibility of such a weapon and found that it left behind a radiation belt that affected many satellites of all space-faring nations (along with launching an electromagnetic pulse which would mostly affect nearby objects).

Ideas to fix this problem of destroying one’s own satellites while targeting the enemy’s with a nuclear bomb are decades old, and many of them were tried and rejected as impractical.

Instead, newer non-nuclear technologies to shoot down satellites have since been developed and tested by the U.S., Russia, China, and India. Satellites are, after all, traveling in very predictable orbits, which renders them “sitting ducks” (even though many are traveling at five miles per second!).

So why use an expensive, dangerous nuclear weapons system to do the job that a smaller, cheaper, pinpoint non-nuclear weapon could do? Yes, technology advances, so some of those earlier rejected nuclear bomb-related satellite killers (and newer ones that are still secret) might be more feasible today. But, again, it is hard to imagine one that doesn’t cause immense collateral damage, including to the satellites of the nation launching it.

The placement of nuclear weapons in orbit would also violate the 1967 Outer Space Treaty,* ratified by 115 nations.

At any rate, if this “new space threat” is real and is nuclear, it is more likely that it relates to a nuclear reactor as a source of energy for conventional weapons, including lasers and particle beams that require immense amounts of power. This, too, has been attempted before. Both the U.S. and the (then) U.S.S.R. placed nuclear reactors in orbit starting in the 1960s with an eye toward providing the power for various military systems, and the U.S.S.R. even launched a series of them to provide the energy for powerful radars. But both countries halted these launches because of safety concerns. In one notorious case, one of the Soviet satellites accidentally reentered the atmosphere and scattered its radioactive material over a long track across Canada. So, although it is tempting for governments to use nuclear reactors in space to power military capabilities, they seem unwilling to do it today because of the risks to life on earth.

Meanwhile, the U.S. and U.S.S.R. (and many other nations) have long conducted and are still conducting various other military projects in space, as it is literally “the high ground” for military activities aimed at the earth and in space, itself.

Spying on the other country and its military space capabilities continue to be top priorities, in order to avoid being surprised. As far back as 1968, a ground-based telescope could be used to see objects on a satellite as small as a few feet in size! Imagine what can be done today! There are credible reports that both U.S. and Russian satellites have rendezvoused with and maneuvered around the opposing nation’s satellites; and that in a few cases, Russian satellites have test-launched projectiles toward other nearby satellites. None of these activities involve nuclear weapons. However, in most cases, the U.S. is far ahead in the relevant technologies. For example, the U.S. could also place either nuclear weapons or nuclear reactors in space, but given all the problems with these approaches, the gigantic question for both the U.S.S.R. and the U.S. is, “Why bother?”

Joel Weisberg is Stark Professor Emeritus of Physics and Astronomy and the Natural Sciences at Carleton College in Northfield.

* The Outer Space Treaty is officially called the “Treaty on Principles Governing the Activities of States in the Exploration and Use of Outer Space, including the Moon and Other Celestial Bodies.” Its Article IV says, “States Parties to the Treaty undertake not to place in orbit around the Earth any objects carrying nuclear weapons or any other kinds of weapons of mass destruction, install such weapons on celestial bodies, or station such weapons in outer space in any other manner.”

**ACTION: H.R. 77**

Call your Congressional representative to demand the U.S. take the lead back from the brink by passing House Resolution 77 canceling the replacement of the U.S. nuclear arsenal with modernized, enhanced weapons. Capitol switchboard: (202) 224-3121.
Solidarity Against Scholasticide in Gaza

Nick Estes, a member of the Lower Brule Sioux Tribe and a professor of American Indian Studies, spoke in front of the student union at the University of Minnesota on April 25, a day after Congress voted to send $26 billion more to Israel.

First, he addressed the University of Minnesota administration (rhetorically, as they were not present):

“They [the Palestinian people of Gaza] are experiencing a scholasticide. Every single university in Gaza has been destroyed in all or in part, so how can you tell me as a university administration that you do not stand in solidarity with the presidents, the deans, the faculty, the staff, the students, the workers of the university that have been killed systematically by bombs, by weapons, and by policies that are backed and funded by the United States government and this university?”

He then addressed the university students and supporters at a rally in solidarity with the Palestinian people, who are facing the possibility of death every day and forced to live in tents (a precarious shelter, at best, and that’s if they are able to get one).

“When they come for us, to arrest us, to defame us, we have history on our side and we will remember which side they stood on. Only cowards fight with guns, weapons, and money. Only cowards have the gall to tell students and faculty and staff that they’re trespassing on a campus at a university.

“Only cowards who hide behind their titles and so-called academic freedom do not say anything when their colleagues are being genocided in their name. You cannot be neutral in a genocide. There is only one side to the story of the United States, and that is indigenous people – that is the story that has to be told. There’s only one story when there’s an active genocide – the side of the perpetrators of that genocide is to be complicit in that genocide, so everything that the students have been doing has moved us as faculty, has moved us as staff, to stand in solidarity because you aren’t asking for anything radical. There’s this law. There’s this convention called the Human Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide. ‘Prevention’ is the key operative word. What is the University of Minnesota doing to prevent genocide?

“It is not a radical position. We’re here to ask this university to adhere to normative human rights standards and to stand against genocide.

“So I want to thank all our courageous students. It’s beautiful. The struggle, the resistance is beautiful. It’s beautiful to see all the encampments going on across the United States.

“Don’t let the media get it twisted. It’s not about encampments. It’s about stopping a genocide.

“So stay strong. Be safe. And God bless us all.”

Transcribed by Mary Beaudoin

Stopping a Genocide While in College

by Kim DeFranco

Reporting from Hamline University, St. Paul: What she was told by students is reflective of what is happening at colleges throughout the U.S. (Hamline students, to our knowledge, have thus far been spared the brutal eviction tactics that students at other universities have experienced.)

On Friday April 26, Hamline students began an occupation of the Old Main Administration building housing administrative service offices including interim President Kathleen Murray’s office. The students wanted to send a strong and clear message that they needed to respond to the student government resolution passed on March 4: to acknowledge the ongoing genocide of the Palestinians in Gaza, disclose ties to companies and investments in Israel, and divest from them.

Stop a Genocide, continued on page 7
Don’t Believe What You’re Being Told About ‘Campus Antisemitism’

The resistance to genocide movement that is sweeping the country began at Columbia University in New York this past April. This student is addressing what is a nationwide effort to stop students protesting for reasons “of safety.”

As a Jewish student at Columbia, it depresses me that I have to correct the record and explain what the real risk to our safety looks like. I still can’t quite believe how the events on campus over the past few days have been so cynically and hysterically misrepresented by the media and by our elected representatives. . . . Here’s what you’re not being told: The most pressing threats to our safety as Jewish students do not come from tents on campus. Instead, they come from the Columbia administration inviting police onto campus, certain faculty members, and third-party organizations that dox undergraduates. Frankly, I regret the fact that writing to confirm the safety of Jewish Ivy League students feels justified in the first place. I have not seen many pundits hand-wringing over the safety of my Palestinian colleagues mourning the deaths of family members, or the destruction of Gaza’s cherished universities.

– Jonathan Ben-Menachem, I Am a Jewish Student at Columbia. Don’t Believe What You’re Being Told About ‘Campus Antisemitism,’ Zeteo, April 23, 2024. tinyurl.com/9aupmjcw

Stopping a Genocide, continued from page 6

After negotiations, the students were allowed to remain in the building. Five students stayed and the rest went outside to continue to rally and begin the occupation both inside and outside. The students sent out a call for all others to come throughout the day on Saturday. Up to 100 people - students, neighbors, and community members - brought tents, blankets, and food to support the occupation. Later that night, the administration responded that they would meet with the students on Monday and said they would allow them to stay in the building. The building was covered by banners and signs supporting Palestine, along with many Palestinian flags, with one flag even hanging outside a second-story window.

On Sunday, the administration stated that if they still wanted to meet, the students would have to leave the building. Hamline’s administration, presumably, did not want this occupation to be in the news.

Students decided to leave the building but remained on the lawn.

At Monday’s meeting, the president started by saying the same things that other college administrators across the country had said, “There are just different perspectives, it’s a contentious issue, it’s not something the university should address, we feel like you’re endangering the students’ safety and you’re making people uncomfortable, you didn’t have to do this.” She did acknowledge that their social justice values go against ethnic cleansing, genocide, and war. She made no commitments to do anything, but stated that they would investigate investments, talk to advisors, and maybe make a statement.

The next day, Tuesday morning, the president’s team called the Hamline Students for Justice (HSJ) into a meeting stating on her behalf that in order to send a message university-wide, they would have to end the encampment. June Gromis, member of HSJ, responded, “We said no because the university has not met our demands. Until they do, this encampment will continue.” The students stated that they are being “gaslighted,” not because they are in danger, but because the encampment is an eyesore for the university.

Kim DeFranco is a member of the Women Against Military Madness Newsletter Committee
Tents in Rafah

Imprisoned by military force on land, sea, and air, and told to move further and further south in the Gaza Strip until they are in Rafah up against the Egyptian border, the displaced Palestinian people are still not safe from Israeli aggression. They had already been displaced due to attacks, enabled by U.S. fighter jets and munitions and funding. They are forced to suffer from famine, dehydration, disease, untreated wounds, and death on a massive scale. Subject to physical and psychological terror, in crowded conditions, with the constant sound of drones overhead ready to shoot lethal missiles at them at any time, Gazans face a ground invasion as this is being written.

Throughout Gaza, bombs and bulldozers have destroyed the vast majority of homes and structures that might be expected to serve as shelter, including UN schools. Many try to survive in tents, which provide little protection against the elements; if they survived the cold, they will have to try to survive the hot weather coming, and without clean water and proper waste disposal systems – and food. Insects, rodents, and reptiles creep into their tents.

UPDATE: Genocide continues. Hundreds of thousands of Palestinians flee IDF shelling, bombing at Rafah in the south and Jabalia in the north. Central Gaza also attacked.

Student Encampments

Dr. Estes of American Indian Studies at the University of Minnesota was correct to caution against letting the media turn things around and make us believe that the issue was encampments rather than genocide. The real issue behind the campus protests is not students deciding to pitch tents on college grounds. It’s not Pro-Palestine versus Pro-Israel. It’s not even the riot squad versus students, or university administrations versus students and faculty (although those are real issues that need to be addressed).

It’s humanity versus a genocide.

The young of our nation grew up, their minds and hearts expanded, they had cell phones on which they...
witnessed mass murder of innocent Palestinians in Gaza, they ate the red pill. They realized that they live in the belly of the beast and that their universities’ investments and policies are part of it, and they decided that business cannot go on as usual. Their tent encampments not only demonstrated their persistence to stand on moral ground, but also served as a symbol of solidarity with displaced Palestinians.

Unhoused in the U.S.

With the decline of affordable housing and a government that would rather enrich its upper echelons through profits from foreign wars than care for the needs of its own people, tent encampments popped up all over in U.S. cities. Inhabitants say they would rather deal with the survival issues of being unhoused in a makeshift community than in temporary indoor shelters. There may be difficulties in the city and dangers of exposure to the elements and from other people, but there is a certain amount, however fragile, of safety in numbers, so tent dwellers at least feel they have a regular place to stay with the hope of a better future someday. For more information, see Unicorn Riot (website: tinyurl.com/4ut47mpx and on social media), an independent media organization that documents how local government and law enforcement across the U.S. have made the lives of the unhoused so much harder by criminalizing them and conducting sweeping evictions of their camps.

There is a higher proportion of Native Americans among the people in camps. It’s a bitter irony that the best option available for some of the people is to live an impoverished life in tents, while the U.S. government spends billions of dollars to enable genocide on another indigenous group of people.

Mary Beaudoin is the editor of the Women Against Military Madness Newsletter.

*150 colleges and universities in the U.S. began protesting April 17 through 30. It is indeed alarming and a sign of a descent into fascism that law enforcement has been called onto campuses and has often used military tactics, treating campus protesters as enemies (at the same time, UCLA students were unprotected by law enforcement while thugs were allowed to attack them). 2,000 arrests were made nationwide. Figures from: Washington Post, Crowd Counting Consortium
Undaunted, Freedom Flotilla Vows Again to Sail to Gaza!

Despite being blocked from sailing in April, the Freedom Flotilla, a peaceful civilian initiative with an international coalition, persists in its intent to bring 5,500 tons of humanitarian aid to Gaza, breaking the draconian siege that has been imposed while a genocide is perpetrated against Palestinian people.

On April 26, the United Nations Special Rapporteurs had demanded safe passage for the flotilla.*

Coleen Rowley, a member of Women Against Military Madness and Veteran Intelligence Professionals for Sanity, who is an avid peace activist, hopes to be among the passengers when they are able to sail in May. Rowley was at the port of Istanbul in April, along with Barry Riesch of the Twin Cities Chapter of Veterans for Peace, joining the coalition expected to sail to Gaza when they received news that the tiny African country of Guinea Bissau, whose colors the flotilla was to fly under, had withdrawn its flag, stopping the mission. The coalition firmly believes this was done after pressure from Israel and the United States.

Rebounding quickly from the initial disappointment, Rowley went on the say that the coalition remains undaunted, believes it will have procured another flag under which to sail, and that it will have grown even stronger, with an increase in the number of people from participating countries. Throughout the world, people will not stand for this genocide. As of this publication, there is still a possibility to apply to join the coalition.

Endnote

For the love of GAZA!

Become a WAMM member and show your support for the Palestinian people.

$20 special for new and lapsed members.

WAMM Membership
- $15–39 Low Income/Student membership
- $40–59 Individual membership
- $60–199 Household membership
- $200+ Major Donor

This is a new membership
This is a membership renewal
This is a gift membership
Check enclosed
Please charge my credit card
Total amount enclosed $_____

Credit Card #________________________ Expiration Date ________ Security Code__________
Signature__________________________________________________________________________
Name_________________________________________ Phone_____________________
Address __________________________________________________________________________
City_________________________________________ State ___________ Zip ______________ (05/24)
Email______________________________________

Add me to the WAMM email list

Contributions are tax deductible to the full extent of the law.
Make checks payable to WAMM, 4200 Cedar Avenue South, Suite 3, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55407
Wamm Calendar
Please note that WAMM's provision of information on other group's events is not meant to convey or endorse any action contrary to public policy that would be inconsistent with exempt purposes under Internal Revenue Code Section 501(c) (3), i.e., charitable purposes.

Wamm Ongoing Peace/Justice Vigils
Pipeline Blues Vigil (Stop Line 3)
Every Monday, 4:00 to 5:00 p.m., outside MN Governor's Mansion, 1006 Summit Ave., St. Paul. Co-sponsored by WAMM and Veterans for Peace Chapter 27.

Vigil to End War
Every Wednesday. Please note time change: 5:00 to 6:00 p.m.
Lake Street/Minneapolis Avenue Bridge. Signs available and brief circle up for announcements after on St. Paul side. Sponsored by WAMM End War Committee.

Vigil to the Occupation of Palestine
Every Friday, 4:00 to 5:00 p.m., corner of Summit Avenue and Snelling Avenue, St. Paul. Sponsored by WAMM Middle East Committee.

Immigrant Solidarity Vigils
Every Tuesday, 7:30 a.m.
Vigil at the ICE entrance on 6000 Minnehaha Ave., Minneapolis. (Not far from Bishop Whipple Building where Immigration Court is held.) Park at the Ft. Snelling commuter light-rail parking lot. Sponsored by WAMM. Call Pepper at 612-701-6963.

Second Tuesday of every month, 7:30 a.m.
Vigil at 1 Federal Drive, Ft. Snelling, (Minneapolis/St. Paul), 55111, outside the Bishop Whipple Building, where Immigration Court is held for five-state area. Prayer, song, courtwatch. Sponsored by Minnesota Interfaith Coalition on Immigration.

Wamm Action Groups
Board Meeting
Third Tuesday of every month, 5:30 to 8:00 p.m. 4200 Cedar Ave. S. + hybrid Zoom.

End Domestic Militarism
Times/Dates pending. Updates at facebook.com/WAMMEDM.

End Military Madness Against the Earth
Time/dates pending.

End War
First Monday of every month via Zoom, 6:30 p.m.

Middle East
Second and fourth Monday of every month via Zoom, 9:30 a.m.

St. Joan of Arc Wamm Peacemakers
Fourth Tuesday of every month via Zoom, 7:00 to 8:00 p.m.
FFI: Call Bill 612-926-8459.

Solidarity Committee on the Americas (SCOTA)
Every Friday via Zoom, 10:00 a.m. FFI: 612-827-5364.

Wamm Ongoing Events
Wamm Monthly Movie:
Please note: second Monday of every month at 7:00 p.m. to 8:30 p.m. 4200 Cedar Ave. S. (entrance on 42nd Street). Projected on big screen. Free admission. All are welcome. Discussions follow film. Masks and social distancing by choice.

Monday, June 10 “Bombs” Bombs examines unexploded cluster bombs, personal experiences of Laotians, foreigners. Argues for elimination still in arsenal.

Special Events
National Week of Action for Al Nakba
March for Al Nakba
Wednesday, May 15, 5:30 p.m., 1200 Washington Ave. S., Minneapolis.
Sen. Klobuchar: Stop ongoing Nakba! End U.S. Aid to Israel! Free Palestine!
Organizer: Free Palestine Coalition

March for Stolen Lives at Home & in Palestine
Saturday, May 18, 2:00 p.m., Franklin Middle School, 1501 N. Aldrich Ave., Minneapolis.
Rally, then march to the 4th Precinct. Demand Community Control of the Police! Organizers: Anti War Committee, Twin Cities Coalition for Justice.

Poetry Night for Palestine!
Saturday, May 18, 7:00 p.m., Open Book Performance Hall, 1011 S. Washington Ave., Minneapolis. New Arab American Theater Works showcases Palestinian art, keeps struggle alive. Talented local writers. Drumming to poems by Khaldoun Al-Samman.

Part of National Nakba Week of Action. Endorsed by MN Free Palestine Coalition.

Join Us to Say NO to Funding Genocide
Tuesday, May 21, 10:00 a.m., MN Senate Building, Room 1200, 95 University Ave W., St. Paul. Organizer: Anti War Committee, Endorsed by WAMM. Present with AntiWar Committee to MN State Board of Investment meeting, demand divestment from the State of Israel.

17th Annual Walk Against Weapons of War
Saturday, June 1. Gather at 10:30 a.m. Langford Park, St. Paul. 11:00 a.m. walk to Lockheed Martin for short rally, return to Langford Park for short closing ceremony.
Details: See back page.

FOR NEW ACTIONS
Check the calendar on the WAMM website:
WomenAgainstMilitaryMadness.org, Women Against Military Madness FB, and social media, or call the WAMM office: 612-827-5364

ACTION ALERT: MONTICELLO NUCLEAR REACTOR
Should Xcel's Monticello nuclear reactor on the Mississippi run for 80 years? Say NO to the license extension with the click of a button at nukewatchinfo.org/monticello
17th Annual Walk Against Weapons of War

Saturday, June 1, 2024 • 10:30 a.m. • Rain or shine!

New location: Langford Park, St. Paul

Langford Park is located South of Como Ave, North of Energy Park Drive, West of Raymond Ave.

Walk with us past weapons maker, ForwardEdge ASIC (application specific integrated circuits), a subsidiary of Lockheed Martin Corp. With record profits (net sales of $67.6 Billion in 2023), Lockheed Martin’s F-35 fighter jets are sent to Israel and indiscriminately bomb Palestinian people in GAZA, killing tens of thousands, in violation of international law. Weapons production also adds to the overall danger of nuclear holocaust and increases damage to environmental ecosystems.

Walk with us to let the public know weapons manufacturing is dangerous to the world and unwelcome in our neighborhood!

10:30 AM: Volunteer/Walker Check-In
11:00 AM: Walk begins to Lockheed Martin (less than 1 mile)
Short rally and return to Langford Park for a short closing ceremony.

Look for the WAMM Flags and banners!

Closest Bus Route: 3 or 87